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Abstract—The Single-Chip Cloud Computer (SCC) experimental processor [4] is a 48-core concept vehicle created by Intel Labs as a platform for many-core software research. Intel provides a customized programming library for the SCC, called RCCE [5], that allows for fast message-passing between the cores. For that purpose, RCCE offers an application programming interface (API) with a semantics that is derived from the well-established MPI standard [7]. However, while the MPI standard offers a very broad range of functions, the RCCE API is consciously kept small [6] and far from implementing all the features of the MPI standard. So, for example, RCCE only provides blocking (often also referred to as synchronous) send and receive functions, whereas the MPI standard also defines the semantics of non-blocking communication functions. For this reason, we have started to extend RCCE by new communication capabilities, as for example by the ability to pass messages asynchronously. In doing so, we aim to avoid interfering with the original RCCE library and therefore we have placed our extensions and improvements into an additional library called iRCCE [2]. Moreover, this additional library in turn serves us as low-level communication layer for SCC-MPICH, that is an SCC-customized and full MPI-1 compliant MPI library. In this contribution, we present the recent advances and future prospects for both these SCC-related communication libraries: iRCCE and SCC-MPICH.
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I. iRCCE: A Non-blocking Communication Extension to the RCCE Communication Library

Due to the lack of non-blocking communication functions within the current RCCE library, we have started to extend RCCE by such asynchronous communication capabilities (iRCCE_isend/iRCCE_irecv). In doing so, we aim to avoid interfering with the original RCCE functions and therefore we have placed our extensions into an additional library with a separated namespace called iRCCE. An obvious way to realize non-blocking communication functions would be to use an additional thread that processes the communication in background. Although this approach seems to be quite convenient, it is not applicable in bare-metal environments where a program runs without any operating system and thread support. And since RCCE has been designed to support also such bare-metal environments, we had to waive this thread-based approach for realizing non-blocking functions. Therefore, we have followed another approach where the application must drive on the communication progress by itself. For this purpose, the non-blocking communication functions return request handles which can then be used by the application to trigger the progress by means of additional push, test or wait functions (iRCCE_push, iRCCE_test, iRCCE_wait). [2]

A recent improvement of iRCCE is the feature that one can use a wildcard (iRCCE_ANY_SOURCE) instead of a definite source rank when calling the receive function. That means that this wildcard can be used to receive any incoming message regardless from its actual sender. However, the application programmer still has to ensure that at least the stated message length matches between receiver and sender.

Currently, we are developing a mailbox system on top of iRCCE that can be used to exchange small (cache-line-sized) datagrams between the cores. Since this mailbox system works without interference with the common send and receive functions, it can be used to pass additional signaling information alongside with normal RCCE/iRCCE messages. Therefore, such a mailbox datapacket is well structured in terms of data items that are quite similar to that of message headers: source, size, tag and embedded payload.

Our aim is to use this mailbox system to extend the current semantics of the send and receive functions. So, for example, we plan to introduce a further wildcard mechanism also for the message length (iRCCE_ANY_LENGTH). That means that the information about the actual message size has then just to be provided by the sender, while the receiver merely has to ensure that the receive buffer is large enough to store the message. Moreover, by introducing additional message tags, as known from the MPI standard, even a message prioritization and reordering by means of these tags would become possible.

For this purpose, a sender would initially post a mailbox datagram to the respective receiver, indicating that a payload message of a certain size and with a certain tag will follow. Therefore, the local mailbox on the receiver side needs to be checked frequently in order to detect such incoming messages. However, it is entirely possible that the receiver detects a message that is yet still unexpected. This is for example the case when the message tags on sender and receiver side do not yet match and thus a message reordering...
becomes necessary. In such a case, the receiver can either copy the incoming message into a temporary buffer or the receiving of the actual payload must be delayed by sending a corresponding response datagram. The choice for one of these two approaches depends on the message size: for short and midsize messages, a temporary buffering seems to be acceptable, whereas long messages should be delayed because the additional copy procedure would impact the communication performance. Besides this, very small messages could be embedded into a datagram, so that there is no need for an additional payload message in such a case.

II. SCC-MPICH: YET ANOTHER MPI-COMPLIANT MESSAGE-PASSING LIBRARY FOR THE INTEL SCC

Although the semantics of RCCE’s communication functions are obviously derived from the MPI standard, the RCCE API is far from implementing all MPI-related features. And even though iRCCE extends the range of supported functions (and thus the provided communication semantics), a lot of users are familiar with MPI and hence want to use its well-known functions also on the SCC. A very simple way to use MPI functions on the SCC is just to port an existing TCP/IP-capable MPI library to this new target platform. However, since the TCP/IP driver of the Linux operating system image for the SCC does not utilize the fast on-die message-passing buffers (MPBs), the achievable communication performance of such a ported TCP/IP-based MPI library lags far behind the MPB-based communication performance of RCCE and iRCCE.

For this reason, we have started in the last year to implement an SCC-optimized MPI library, called SCC-MPICH, which in turn is based upon our iRCCE extensions of the original RCCE communication library. At about the same time, Intel also started to implement an SCC-customized MPI library, called RCKMPI [8]. While RCKMPI has already been released by Intel, we have not yet published SCC-MPICH despite the fact that it is already fully operational, too. The reason for this is that we think that our human resources are too limited to provide sufficient user support for this project in case of an official software release. However, we use SCC-MPICH as basis for our future message-passing related research on the SCC and we have launched several student projects that in turn are also based on SCC-MPICH.

A major advantage of SCC-MPICH compared to RCKMPI is that it can be installed and used as easy as RCCE. That means that one can use the mpirun script just instead of the known rccerun directly from the Management Console PC (MCPC) without installing any additional libraries or startup environments on the SCC cores. Moreover, even the cores of the MCPC can easily be involved into an SCC-MPICH session. That means that one can start MPI processes on the SCC cores and additionally MPI processes on the cores of the MCPC.

In doing so, SCC-MPICH does not use just TCP/IP (as the lowest common dominator) for all the communication, but rather offers hierarchy-awareness in such a way that always the fastest communication mode is being used. That means that MPI processes running on the SCC cores use the message-passing buffers (MPBs) to communicate among each other, while processes running on the MCPC communicate via shared memory. The communication between the MCPC processes and the SCC cores is then eventually conducted via TCP/IP. In order to start such a mixed MPI session, one just needs to issue mpirun -nue x -mcpc y in a console on the MCPC.

A further advantage of SCC-MPICH is that it offers SCC-optimized collective communication routines. This is because SCC-MPICH is directly based upon RCCE (with iRCCE extensions) and due to the fact that RCCE can in turn be extended by the customized collective functions of the so-called RCCE_comm library [1]. That way, an easy mapping of MPI collective function calls onto the optimized RCCE_comm functions becomes possible.

A more detailed description of SCC-MPICH together with some performance results can be found in [3].
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